## Consultation on the Division 1 and Division 2 team Nationals

## Nationals Survey Results

The Nationals Survey ran from Tuesday $7^{\text {th }}$ December to midnight on Sunday $12^{\text {th }}$ December.
The survey was run on online on the Survey Monkey platform. The sample was 104 team captains from teams that fished either Division 1 or Division 2 in 2019 and 2020. Overall, we had 69 responses, a reasonable response rate of $66 \%$ that can be considered statistically robust.

The survey was a preference ranking survey. If we look at the $\%$ that voted each option as their 1st preferences (chart below) then it is close between the first 3 options:

- 3 Equal Divisions (30.4\%)
- No Change (29\%)
- Small Premier / 2 larger regional Divisions (North / South) (27.5\%)


Hence, we can't differentiate well between these 3 options based on only $1^{\text {st }}$ choice preference alone.

However, the Small Premier Div. option has nearly half of all respondents (46.4\%) ranking it last. Therefore, we consider that opposition to this option too great to take it forward.

The Large Premier Div. option has a much smaller number ranking it as 1st preference (13\%) and is therefore not a favoured approach.

We are therefore left with 2 potential options: "3 Equal Divisions" or "No Change".
This conclusion is supported by the Average and Total scores for each option (chart below) where these two approaches are ahead of the other 2 and the "no change" option is ranked 1st.

| Q1: Please rank the 4 options listed below in order of your preference by numbering each option 1 to 4, with 1 being your most preferred and 4 being your least preferred option. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Answered: 69 Skipped: 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | AVERAGE | TOTAL | TOP 2 |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | total | SCORE | SCORE | box SCore |  |
| The top table is based on converting the preference ranking to a score i.e. preference: <br> Rank 1 = score 4 <br> Rank 2 = score 3 <br> Rank 3 = score 2 <br> Rank 4 = score 1 | No change, stick to the current Div. 1 and Div. 2 structures | $\begin{array}{r} 29.0 \% \\ 20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31.9 \% \\ 22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.8 \% \\ 13 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20.3 \% \\ 14 \end{array}$ | 69 | 2.70 | 186 | 146 | 60.9\% |
|  | 3 Equal Hierarchical Divisions Divisions 1, 2 and 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 30.4 \% \\ & 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21.7 \% \\ 15 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29.0 \% \\ 20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.8 \% \\ 13 \end{array}$ | 69 | 2.64 | 182 | 129 | 52.1\% |
|  | Large Premier Division, 2 smaller Regional Divisions | $\begin{array}{r} 13.0 \% \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34.8 \% \\ 24 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 37.7 \% \\ 26 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.5 \% \\ 10 \end{array}$ | 69 | 2.46 | 170 | 108 | 47.8\% |
|  | Small Premier Division, 2 larger Regional Divisions | $\begin{array}{r} 27.5 \% \\ 19 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.6 \% \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.5 \% \\ 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 46.4 \% \\ 32 \end{array}$ | 69 | 2.20 | 152 | 100 | 39.1\% |
|  | BASIC STATISTICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | MINIM | M M | XIMUM | MEDIAN | MEAN | STAND DEVIA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DARD } \\ & \text { TION } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| The bottom table is based on the raw responses i.e. preference rank $1=1$ etc. | No change, stick to the current Div. 1 and Div. 2 structures | 00 | 4.00 | 2.00 | 2.30 |  | 1.09 |  |  |  |
|  | 3 Equal Hierarchical Divisions - Divisions 1, 2 and 3 | 00 | 4.00 | 2.00 | 2.36 |  | 1.10 |  |  |  |
|  | Large Premier Division, 2 smaller Regional Divisions | 00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 2.54 |  | 0.89 |  |  |  |
|  | Small Premier Division, 2 larger Regional Divisions | 00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 2.80 |  | 1.28 |  |  |  |

To better differentiate between the two top-ranked approaches, "No Change" and "3 Equal Divisions", we then need to look at the combined top 2 boxes i.e., combine the 1 st $\& 2^{\text {nd }}$ preference scores:

| Approach | $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}+\mathbf{2}^{\text {nd }}$ Pref Score | $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}+\mathbf{2}^{\text {nd }}$ Pref $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| No change | 146 | $61 \%$ |
| 3 Equal Divisions | 129 | $52 \%$ |

Here the clear outcome is that "No Change" is the preferred approach, and there is therefore no clear mandate to change the structures of the Division 1 and 2 Nationals.

